IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ROBERT E. SANFORD, Plaintiff, 4:09CV3263 V. NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF ORDER CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, ROBERT P. HOUSTON, Director ) of Correctional Services, FRED BRITTEN, Warden at the TECUMSEH STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION, and UNKNOWN SHERMAN, Unit Manager of SMU at Tecumseh State Correctional) Institution, et al., Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion to extend time to pay initial partial filing fee (Filing No.  $\underline{13}$ .) Also pending are plaintiff's motions for summons and status of summons (Filing Nos.  $\underline{6}$  and  $\underline{9}$ .)

On January 6, 2010, the Court granted plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Filing No.  $\underline{7}$ ). Pursuant to  $\underline{28~U.S.C.~§~1915(b)}$ , the Court directed plaintiff to pay an initial partial filing fee of \$0.07 before February 5, 2010. ( $\underline{Id}$ . at CM/ECF p. 3.) On February 4, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to extend time to pay the initial partial filing fee (Filing No.  $\underline{11}$ .) The Court granted the motion and gave plaintiff until March 5, 2010, to pay the initial partial filing fee (Filing No. 12). Four days later, plaintiff filed an

additional motion to extend time to pay the initial partial filing fee (Filing No. 13).

Because plaintiff has until March 5, 2010, to pay the initial partial filing fee, his second motion to extend will be denied. If the initial partial filing fee is not received by the specified deadline, this case will be dismissed. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to extend time (Filing No. 13) is denied.
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for summons (Filing No.  $\underline{6}$ ) is denied without prejudice to reassertion after payment of the initial partial filing fee.
- 3. Plaintiff's motion for status of summons (Filing No. 9) is denied as moot.

DATED this 18th day of February, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge United States District Court

<sup>\*</sup> This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the Court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The Court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the Court.